

Obtained via FOIA by Judicial Watch, Inc.

From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, March 8, 2009 9:04 PM
To: hdr22@clintonemail.com
Cc: HAbedin@hillaryclinton.com
Subject: Re: Schedule

RELEASE IN FULL

Yes, will do

----- Original Message -----

From: H <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J
Cc: Huma Abedin <HAbedin@hillaryclinton.com>
Sent: Sun Mar 08 21:04:19 2009
Subject: Schedule

Can you pls give me tomorrow a list of the Foreign Ministers and other high ranking officials (Presidents, Prime Ministers, etc) I have met w both in DC, and w Jake/Huma help, the ones I met overseas and the dates Thx

HA 09/01/2015

Obtained via FOIA by Judicial Watch, Inc.

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, March 11, 2009 5:03 PM
To: hdr22@clintonemail.com
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Subject: No new voice mail - 5:02 PM EST

RELEASE IN FULL

###

Lauren Jiloty
Special Assistant to
Secretary Hillary Rodham Clinton

HA 09/01/2015

Obtained via FOIA by Judicial Watch, Inc.

From: H <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
 Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2009 9:31 PM
 To: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
 Subject: Re: Ap story

RELEASE IN FULL

Can you talk now?

-----Original Message-----

From: "Mills, Cheryl D" <MillsCD@state.gov>

Date: Thu, 12 Mar 2009 20:46:10

To: <hdr22@clintonemail.com>

Subject: Fw: Ap story

See below

----- Original Message -----

From: Hill, Christopher R

To: Mills, Cheryl D

Sent: Thu Mar 12 20:21:41 2009

Subject: Ap story

Fyi

Republicans oppose Obama pick for Iraq ambassador

By ANNE FLAHERTY

Associated Press Writer

03-12-2009 18:53

WASHINGTON (AP) _ At least three Republican senators have said that President Barack Obama should reconsider his pick to become the next U.S. ambassador to Iraq, dimming the chances that Christopher Hill could be confirmed.

Sens. John McCain of Arizona, Lindsey Graham of South Carolina and Sam Brownback of Kansas said this week that they were disappointed with Hill's appointment, which was announced Wednesday by Obama.

During the Bush administration, Hill led nuclear disarmament talks with North Korea. While considered a seasoned negotiator, Hill was regarded by many Republicans as too willing to make concessions to try to prod Pyongyang to give up its nuclear weapons.

If confirmed Hill would replace Ryan Crocker as America's top diplomat in Baghdad.

The swift negative reaction from Congress does not bode well for Hill's appointment. He would have to be confirmed by the Senate, where Democrats hold a narrow majority and procedural rules require 60 votes to cut off debate.

All three senators cited a lack of experience in the Middle East to explain their opposition to Hill.

Brownback also referred to his "evasive and unprofessional" past dealings with Congress, while McCain and Graham referred to his "controversial legacy" in a joint statement released late Thursday.

"The U.S. embassy in Baghdad is the world's largest, and our next ambassador will take the helm at a particularly critical time in our efforts in Iraq," Graham and McCain wrote. "The next ambassador should have experience in the Middle East and in working closely with the U.S. military in counterinsurgency or counterterrorism operations. Mr. Hill has neither."

HA 09/01/2015

Obtained via FOIA by Judicial Watch, Inc.

From: H <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2009 10:15 PM
To: Cheryl Mills <CMills@hillaryclinton.com>
Subject: Can you talk?

RELEASE IN FULL

I'm up for another hour. Pls call.

Obtained via FOIA by Judicial Watch, Inc.

From: H <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 14, 2009 12:46 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Subject: Re: Your Food security meetings

RELEASE IN FULL

I'm so glad to know that the fun is free for all! I'm in and out at home if you want/need to talk. Let me know.

From: "Mills, Cheryl D"
Date: Sat, 14 Mar 2009 08:22:31 -0400
To: <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Subject: Fw: Your Food security meetings

In case you thought you though you were the only one having fun .

From: Greene, Richard L
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Fri Mar 13 18:49:19 2009
Subject: Your Food security meetings

Which of the following is true?

1. The meetings are an example of what life in hell must be like; or
2. You have really done a remarkable job of bringing together a disparate group whose basic instinct is to claw each others eyes out
Well done

HA 09/01/2015

Obtained via FOIA by Judicial Watch, Inc.

From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, March 15, 2009 7:22 AM
To: hdr22@clintonemail.com; HAbedin@hillaryclinton.com
Subject: Re: Shuttle

RELEASE IN FULL

I believe 7pm is the last one but will double check

----- Original Message -----

From: H <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
To: Valmoro, Lona J, Huma Abedin <HAbedin@hillaryclinton.com>
Sent: Sat Mar 14 21:02:38 2009
Subject: Shuttle

Can I take a later one? Is there an 8 or 9?

HA 09/01/2015

Obtained via FOIA by Judicial Watch, Inc.

From: H <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 15, 2009 8:42 AM
To: Huma Abedin <HAbedin@hillaryclinton.com>
Subject: Clps

RELEASE IN FULL

What I got today started at p 39 and ended at p 59. Can I get the rest?

HA 09/01/2015

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Voda ebeling [redacted]
 Sent: Wednesday, March 11, 2009 11:46 AM
 To: hdr22@clintonemail.com
 Subject: Afghanistan, scarier than the Mid E

B6

Vets need help fighting war in their heads

March 11, 2009

BY CAROL MARIN Sun-Times Columnist

President Obama's new secretary for Veterans Affairs, retired general Eric Shinseki, is coming to town Friday to visit the VA Medical Center in North Chicago.

Here's hoping he meets Maj. Shari Johnson of the U.S. Army Reserve 452nd combat support group.

Johnson, 47, is a registered nurse. But she's not on staff at the VA hospital. She's a patient who just checked herself in on Sunday, hoping and praying that she can learn to turn down the volume on the war that's raging in her head.

Two years ago, I wrote about Johnson and her husband, Sgt. Mike Johnson, who also is a nurse.

Both were sent to Afghanistan in 2003. Both were assigned to the hospital at Bagram Air Base in Parwan province. Both suffer from post-traumatic stress disorder.

Mike had already been diagnosed with PTSD in after serving in the 1990 Gulf War -- but the Army called him up again anyway.

Duty in Afghanistan profoundly affected them both.

At Bagram, Shari treated children whom the Taliban had placed in boiling water up to their waists as their horrified parents looked on. It was a way of punishing the parents for collaborating with Americans. One of Mike's jobs, meanwhile, was to meticulously prepare dead soldiers for the trip home to grieving families, piecing them back together and dressing them with care so that if coffins were opened, they could be presented with the full dignity they deserved.

Six months after returning home to their small farm in Downstate Sheldon, the aftershocks began. Shari told me in 2005 that she started, "crying all the time . . . not wanting to go anywhere. I just wanted to hide out."

Mike, whose medications covered a small table in the living room, couldn't sleep, couldn't cope easily with crowds or with vehicles pulling up behind him on the highway.

The war they had left was all around them.

For awhile, both husband and wife continued their medical work at civilian hospitals, Shari in the intensive care unit of a local hospital and Mike doing emergency room medicine. But problems with anger have sidelined Mike, and though Shari still has a job, it's in the area of nursing education, not on the floor anymore with badly injured or desperately ill patients.

The Johnsons are, at their very core, soldiers. They don't want to be hobbled by PTSD. They don't want pity or even sympathy. All they want is to be well again, whole again. And believe it or not, they would, if they could, go back to serve again.

If anyone understands this, Shinseki does.

As a wounded soldier who lost part of his foot in Vietnam and fought the bureaucracy to stay on active duty, Shinseki has long had a reputation of speaking truth to power across the administrations of Bill Clinton, George W. Bush and now Barack Obama.

As the debate rages over whether only the physically wounded qualify for Purple Hearts, there is an even bigger fight at hand. It has to do with how we care for the soldiers who stood strong for us, fought in time of war and protected us in time of peace.

The VA in America is a mess. You need only try to reach its offices in Washington to find out that it takes 10 calls before one is answered. You need only talk to Mike and Shari to understand how hard it has been to get treatment and at the same time support themselves.

But there are signs that we are beginning to open our minds to the full toll of war.

Recently, Carter Ham, a four-star Army general who commands U.S. soldiers in Europe, admitted to suffering from PTSD.

"Such candor," wrote USA Today, "is critical to overcoming a military macho culture that's outdated and counterproductive."

Veterans like Mike and Shari Johnson deserve help.

Shinseki's visit may signal that help is coming.

HA 09/01/2015

Obtained via FOIA by Judicial Watch, Inc.

From: PVerveer [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, March 11, 2009 11:36 PM
To: hdr22@clintonemail.com
Subject: Thank you!

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

This is what you were talking about today... the difference the awards make for the women in their home countries. Imagine the change in their standing because you raised them up by standing with them.

Melanne,

I went to the reception at Guatemalan Embassy in honor of Norma Cruz after our event with Secretary. Someone at the Embassy got a message with the front story page of one of Guatemala's leading papers, La Prensa Libre, with the photo of all of them with Secretary and First Lady, under the headline "The Two Most Powerful Women in the World." Norma was so thrilled she said this is going to totally energize the women in Guatemala. The Guatemalan Ambassador was so nice and I made some good connex at embassy. It will be interesting to see the reaction in the other countries.

A Good Credit Score is 700 or Above. See yours in just 2 easy steps!

Obtained via FOIA by Judicial Watch, Inc.

From: Huma Abedin <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2009 7:38 AM
To: hdr22@clintonemail.com
Subject:

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

Holbrooke calling for u
647-1512

Obtained via FOIA by Judicial Watch, Inc.

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2009 12:05 PM
To: hdr22@clintonemail.com
Subject: FW: New York Magazine

RELEASE IN PART B6

fyi

From: Mike Posner [mailto:]
Sent: Wednesday, March 11, 2009 11:53 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Fwd: New York Magazine

B6

fyi -- it is good we are moving to get this line of stories behind us -- don't want it to drift further into the broader public discourse of which this article is a small part. see below.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Mike Posner < >
Date: Wed, Mar 11, 2009 at 11:29 AM
Subject: New York Magazine
To: "posnermh" <posnermh >

B6

B6

Daily Intel

Richard Gere Wants to Think the Best of Hillary Clinton

3/10/09 at 2:00 PM

Comment5CommentIt's been widely documented that Richard Gere has an innate ability to peer into a person's soul and find their hidden redemptive qualities, be they fickle brides or sassy prostitutes. But Gere's passionate support for Tibetan rights may be messing with his finely tuned instruments in the case of Hillary Clinton. He was on *The Situation Room* yesterday talking to Wolf Blitzer about Clinton's recent trip to China, during which she remarked that disputes over human-rights issues "can't interfere with the global economic crisis, the global climate-change crisis, and the security crisis." Gere once again tried to find the rosiest explanation:

I think she probably, I'm guessing, misspoke in the moment. And I can't imagine that she would think human rights is ever going to be on the back burner.

Hmmm. Clinton's quote was part of a much longer explanation in which she made it clear that, considering all the other things going on, human-rights issues weren't an overarching priority. Furthermore, in all the time we've followed her, Clinton has, for the most part, shown a robotic ability to avoid saying things she doesn't mean. No, unfortunately for Richard Gere, there is no fairy-tale ending to this story. This is more like the final scene of *Primal Fear*, with Gere walking away in a stunned, silent daze.

Gere: Clinton 'mispoke in the moment' [Political Ticker/CNN]

Obtained via FOIA by Judicial Watch, Inc.

Best
Mike

Mike Posner
(212) 845-5210 office
 mobile

B6

Obtained via FOIA by Judicial Watch, Inc.

B6

From: Judith McHale [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2009 6:42 PM
To: hr15@att.blackberry.net
Subject: RE: Thanks

RELEASE IN PART
B6

Hillary:

Thanks so much for taking the time to meet yesterday. It helped remind me of why I'm doing all this!! I'm incredibly excited by all the things we can do and can't wait to get started.

Sorry I didn't get to say good-bye but I had to duck out to head up to NY right after the meeting [REDACTED]
I have to say it's always amazingly energizing to be in the city.

Hope to see you again soon --- next time as a full-fledged member of the team!

Best regards,
Judith

Obtained via FOIA by Judicial Watch, Inc.

From: Huma Abedin <[redacted]>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2009 7:40 PM
To: humanabedin[redacted]
Subject: PtinyFw: Aspirational Diplomacy

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

-----Original Message-----

From: H <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
To: Huma Abedin
Sent: Thu Mar 12 16:05:46 2009
Subject: Fw: Aspirational Diplomacy

Pls print.

From: Doug Hattaway
Date: Thu, 12 Mar 2009 15:02:24 -0400
To: <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Subject: RE: Aspirational Diplomacy

Madame Secretary:

Your comments yesterday on human rights were excellent. I wanted to follow up on your interest in a discussion about the Administration's work on democracy.

The Center for Strategic and International Studies just released a report very much in line with the message I suggested previously, about framing our work as "building democratic institutions" rather than "promoting democracy."

The CSIS report is entitled, "Democracy in U.S. Security Strategy: From Promotion to Support."

The report says, "Support, do not promote, democracy. Promoting has become synonymous with imposing democracy. U.S. strategy should be patient, humble, cooperative, and pragmatic, and not always active and public." It outlines 5 action items for U.S. policy.

To help with your thinking on the topic, I'd like to suggest a meeting with the leadership of NDI, including Sec. Albright, who chairs NDI's board. You may know Ken Wollack, the president.

This could be a private, informal discussion, or a more formal presentation, depending on your interest. We could brief you on the major issues and trends in democracy assistance, and discuss ideas for US policy.

I'll admit to having an agenda: I hope that democracy can regain its rightful place in US policy and become a 4th D in the mantra of "development, defense and diplomacy."

I also hope the Administration can undo the damage done by Bush, and take back democracy support as a defining issue for Democrats.

Would you like me to arrange a discussion?

Best, Doug

From: H [mailto:hdr22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Saturday, February 14, 2009 8:57 PM
To: Doug Hattaway
Subject: Re: Aspirational Diplomacy

HA 09/01/2015

Doug,

This is very helpful and along the lines of what I've been thinking. I hope we can get together when I'm back to talk about this whole area. All the best.

From: Doug Hattaway
Date: Thu, 12 Feb 2009 09:43:57 -0500
To: <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Subject: RE: Aspirational Diplomacy

Hi! Hope you're doing well. Things look to be going very well at State.

I wanted to pass along another thought, this time about our message and approach to supporting democracy around the world. This is based on my work with NDI in the Middle East and North Africa.

As you may recall, I worked with the government of Lebanon, political parties and NGOs in a number of countries. We kept running into problems with the way democracy assistance was viewed in the U.S. and abroad.

Because of Bush's belligerence and the way the Republicans talk about advancing democracy as "promoting American values" and "winning the ideological war," the word "democracy" itself was tainted.

People who should support democracy and democratic governance were suspicious or hostile. Democratic reformers in many countries were put on the defensive, and even put in danger.

The Republican approach was more about selling democracy. Karen Hughes went around delivering lectures. They ran advertising campaigns, which were not well received overseas. (That's not surprising, since polls show commercial marketing and Hollywood exports contribute to negative perceptions of America.)

This is not to belittle good work done in public diplomacy, but the general orientation toward selling democracy as a concept (particularly in the context of invading Iraq) was ineffective at best and counter-productive at worst.

In response, we developed a new way to talk about this work that would distinguish our (Democratic) approach from the Republicans.

We talked about it as "helping people build democratic institutions." This frames the U.S. as helping people on the ground, rather than lecturing them about abstract principles. "Democratic institutions" are fair elections, representative political parties, independent citizens' groups and government that is open and accountable.

We focused on the benefits to foreign publics: "Democratic institutions and processes can help people in any society resolve conflicts peacefully and improve their lives."

And to the American public: "Helping people build more democratic societies will contribute to greater peace and stability throughout the world."

HA 09/01/2015

Obtained via FOIA by Judicial Watch, Inc.

This isn't to retreat from proactively advancing democracy, which Democrats have long embraced. It's framing the work in more concrete terms and focusing on the benefits to people's lives.

I hope that's useful food for thought. There's more to this, and I'm happy to chat about it if you're interested. We can also talk about the aspirational messaging, if you'd like to follow up on our previous exchange on that.

Keep up the great work, Doug

From: H (<mailto:hdr22@clintonemail.com>)
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2009 9:46 PM
To: Doug Hattaway
Subject: Re: Aspirational Diplomacy

Doug,

So good to hear from you and this was very useful. I'd love to talk about it further. I'll contact you about setting up a time to get together. Thanks so much. All the best, H

LA 08/01/2015

Obtained via FOIA by Judicial Watch, Inc.

From: H <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
 Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2009 9:32 PM
 To: Huma Abedin <HABedin@hillaryclinton.com>
 Subject: Fw: American University of Afghanistan

RELEASE IN PART
 B6

Pls print.

From: "Luzzatto, Tamera (Clinton)"
 Date: Tue, 10 Mar 2009 15:26:09 -0400
 To: 'hdr22@clintonemail.com' <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
 Subject: Fw: American University of Afghanistan

Hello! I'll send a separate update note, but this is to forward friend John Kuhnle's FYI that he'll be heading up a search for the American University in Afghanistan. AID gave the school some money, and John thought you and Holbrooke would want to know about this effort and if you or a related State unit have any candidate suggestions or input, he welcomes all. John is at Korn Ferry and does top higher ed prez searches. [REDACTED]

Best, T

From: John Kuhnle [REDACTED]
 To: Luzzatto, Tamera (Clinton)
 Cc: Elizabeth Dycus [REDACTED]; Tonya Robinson [REDACTED]
 Sent: Tue Mar 10 14:57:46 2009
 Subject: American University of Afghanistan

Tamera-

Thanks for being interested in the search for the new **President of the American University in Afghanistan**, the country's only private, non-profit institution of higher education. It has an autonomous international board of leading Afghan and American leaders in business, education, and philanthropy. AUAF, with all courses taught in English, has operated for two years and has 350 students (20% women) with an eventual goal of 5,000 students. It's a delicate institution funded by grants, tuition and philanthropic gifts, but a \$42 million grant from USAID has provided a platform of support that is allowing it to play an increasingly important role in educating the future leaders of Afghanistan. Afghan President Karzai has provided a lease (expiring in 2103) on a 43-acre site for the permanent campus in the government zone.

I thought that Secretary Clinton and Ambassador should be brought up to date on this institution and its ambitions. I'll appreciate your bringing it to their attention. (The Secretary will know me as a [REDACTED] and [REDACTED])
 All the best,
 John

Dr. John Kuhnle
 Managing Director-Global Education Practice
 Korn/Ferry International
 1700 K St., NW, Suite 700
 Washington, DC 20006
 Tel: 202/955-0919
 Fax: 202/318-4476
 Email: [REDACTED]

HA 09/01/2015

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2009 10:23 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Subject: Re: Ap story

B5

-----Original Message-----

From: "Mills, Cheryl D" <MillsCD@state.gov>

Date: Thu, 12 Mar 2009 20:46:10

To: <hdr22@clintonemail.com>

Subject: Fw: Ap story

See below

----- Original Message -----

From: Hill, Christopher R

To: Mills, Cheryl D

Sent: Thu Mar 12 20:21:41 2009

Subject: Ap story

Fyi.

Republicans oppose Obama pick for Iraq ambassador

By ANNE FLAHERTY

Associated Press Writer

03-12-2009 18:53

WASHINGTON (AP) _ At least three Republican senators have said that President Barack Obama should reconsider his pick to become the next U.S. ambassador to Iraq, dimming the chances that Christopher Hill could be confirmed.

Sens. John McCain of Arizona, Lindsey Graham of South Carolina and Sam Brownback of Kansas said this week that they were disappointed with Hill's appointment, which was announced Wednesday by Obama.

During the Bush administration, Hill led nuclear disarmament talks with North Korea. While considered a seasoned negotiator, Hill was regarded by many Republicans as too willing to make concessions to try to prod Pyongyang to give up its nuclear weapons.

If confirmed Hill would replace Ryan Crocker as America's top diplomat in Baghdad.

The swift negative reaction from Congress does not bode well for Hill's appointment. He would have to be confirmed by the Senate, where Democrats hold a narrow majority and procedural rules require 60 votes to cut off debate.

All three senators cited a lack of experience in the Middle East to explain their opposition to Hill.

Brownback also referred to his "evasive and unprofessional" past dealings with Congress, while McCain and Graham referred to his "controversial legacy" in a joint statement released late Thursday.

"The U.S. embassy in Baghdad is the world's largest, and our next ambassador will take the helm at a particularly critical time in our efforts in Iraq," Graham and McCain wrote. "The next ambassador should have experience in the Middle East and in working closely with the U.S. military in counterinsurgency or counterterrorism operations. Mr. Hill has neither."

HA 09/01/2015

Obtained via FOIA by Judicial Watch, Inc.

From: Valmoro, Lona J <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, March 13, 2009 5:45 AM
To: habedini [redacted] hdr22@clintonemail.com
Subject: Re: Monday

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

Yes Tuesday

From: Huma Abedin
To: hdr22@clintonemail.com ; Valmoro, Lona J
Sent: Thu Mar 12 22:40:05 2009
Subject: Re: Monday

U r seeing him tuesday I believe.
But he is def on for next week

-----Original Message-----

From: H <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
To: Lona Valmoro <ValmoroLJ@state.gov>
CC: Huma Abedin
Sent: Thu Mar 12 22:24:24 2009
Subject: Monday

Am I seeing Shaun Woodward about N Ireland Monday?

Obtained via FOIA by Judicial Watch, Inc.

From: Huma Abedin [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, March 14, 2009 8:31 AM
To: hdr22@clintonemail.com
Subject: Holbrooke

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

I just got a message from holbrooke saying it was urgent that he talk to you
Ops can connect 202-647-1512

HA 09/01/2015

From: Jiloty, Lauren C <JilotyLC@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, March 14, 2009 8:42 PM
To: hdr22@clintonemail.com
Cc: Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Subject: Bobby kennedy called for you

RELEASE IN PART
B6

c

B6

HA 09/01/2015

Obtained via FOIA by Judicial Watch, Inc.

From: Huma Abedin <habedin@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 17, 2009 7:52 PM
To: humamabedin@clintonemail.com
Subject: PrintFw: Energy Coordinator/EEB Analysis by Lael/Jan

RELEASE IN PART
B5, B6

B6

-----Original Message-----

From: H <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
To: Huma Abedin
Sent: Tue Mar 17 16:57:16 2009
Subject: Fw: Energy Coordinator/EEB Analysis by Lael/Jan

Pls print.

From: "Mills, Cheryl D"
Date: Tue, 17 Mar 2009 07:59:53 -0400
To: <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Subject: Energy Coordinator/EEB Analysis by Lael/Jan

Below is what Lael would have recommended on Energy & EEB.

State Department Leadership on Energy Security


March 2009

B5

B5

Obtained via FOIA by Judicial Watch, Inc.

B5



HA 09/01/2015